To clarify the implementation and enforcement of Subpart B of the Travel Management Rule (36 C.F.R. 212), relating to the designation of roads, trails, and areas for motor vehicle use, in administrative units of the National Forest System in California, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. Herger introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on ________

A BILL

To clarify the implementation and enforcement of Subpart B of the Travel Management Rule (36 C.F.R. 212), relating to the designation of roads, trails, and areas for motor vehicle use, in administrative units of the National Forest System in California, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
2tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
SECTION 1. IMPLEMENTATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF
SUBPART B OF THE TRAVEL MANAGEMENT
RULE ON NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM LAND
IN CALIFORNIA.

(a) CONSIDERATION OF ROUTES NOT PREVIOUSLY
CONSIDERED.—No funds appropriated or otherwise made
available to the Secretary of Agriculture may be used to
implement or enforce Subpart B of the Travel Manage-
ment Rule (subpart B of part 212 of title 36, Code of
Federal Regulations), relating to the designation of roads,
trails, and areas for motor vehicle use, in an administra-
tive unit of the National Forest System in California un-
less the Secretary has completed post-Subpart B Project
Level Trail Planning of unauthorized routes in the unit
not considered in Subpart B.

(b) TREATMENT OF MAINTENANCE-LEVEL 3
ROADS.—In implementing Subpart B of the Travel Man-
agement Rule in an administrative unit of the National
Forest System in California, the Secretary of Agriculture
may not treat a maintenance-level 3 road (as defined in
the Forest Service Handbook) as a “highway” for pur-
poses of determining applicability of division 16.5 of the
California Vehicle Code (section 38000 et seq.), relating
to off-highway motor vehicles.